

31-Jan-2023

To, Senior General Manager- Listing Compliance BSE Limited 24<sup>th</sup> Floor, P J Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai – 400 001.

Scrip ID & ISIN & Security code: 1365AFL23 & INE411R07095 & 973388 1315AFL24 & INE411R07178 & 974144

Subject: Intimation of receipt of order of the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench approving the Scheme of Arrangement between TribeTech Private Limited ("Transferor Company") and Ashv Finance Limited (formerly known as Jain Sons Finlease Limited) ("Transferee Company") and their respective shareholders (together the "Petitioner Companies") under Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013

Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to captioned subject and pursuant to Regulation 51(2) of SEBI Listing Regulation, please take note that the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Mumbai Bench ("Tribunal") has approved the Scheme of Arrangement between TribeTech Private Limited ("Transferor Company") and Ashv Finance Limited (formerly known as Jain Sons Finlease Limited) ("Transferee Company") and their respective shareholders (together the "Petitioner Companies") under Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013.

In this regard, the Hon'ble NCLT, Mumbai Bench, has uploaded the detailed order dated 12-Jan-2023, allowing the said scheme on its website on 30-Jan-2023.

We have enclosed herewith copy of the order as available on the website of NCLT. A certified copy of the order is awaited. Hence, we will update about the same accordingly.

We request you to kindly take the same on your record.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For Ashv Finance Limited

Monika Variava

Company Secretary & Compliance

Membership No. A31722

# NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH COURT III

26. C.A. 111/2022

IN

C.P.(CAA)/74/MB/2021

CORAM: SH. H. V. SUBBA RAO, MEMBER (J)

MS. MADHU SINHA, MEMBER (T)

ORDER SHEET OF THE HEARING OF MUMBAI BENCH OF THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL ON **12.01.2023** 

NAME OF THE PARTIES: TribeTech Private Limited

SECTION 230-232 OF COMPANIES ACT, 2013

#### ORDER

Mr. Hemant Sethi, counsel for the Petitioner and Ms. Rupa Sutar, representative of Regional Director are present through virtual hearing.

#### C.A. 111/2022

The above Application is filed by Applicant Companies for seeking the following reliefs:

- A. That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to take this Company Application for final hearing along with the Company Scheme Petition.
- B. That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to take on record the fact that the 'Appointed Date' under the Scheme has changed from 1 April 2021 to 1 April 2022.
- C. That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to replace Exhibit 'G' of the Company Scheme Petition with Exhibit 'J' of this application (i.e. the updated Scheme consequent to change of 'Appointed Date')

D. That this Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to pass such further and other

orders as may be necessary in the facts and circumstances of the

present case.

After hearing the submissions of the counsel appearing for the Petitioner

and Representative of Regional Director, this bench feels that the above

application is fit for allowing. Accordingly, the above Company Application

is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B' and 'C' changing the date of

appointment from 01.04.2021 to 01.04.2022 and also replacing Exhibit 'G'

of the Company Scheme Petition with Exhibit 'J' of this application.

C.P.(CAA)/74/MB/2021

Heard both sides and the above company petition is allowed. Detail order

would follow:

Sd/-MADHU SINHA Member (Technical) //SGP// Sd/-H. V. SUBBA RAO Member (Judicial)

# BEFORE THE HON'BLE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH, COURT III

CP/(C.A.A.)/74/MB-III/2021

IN

CA(C.A.A.)/1113/MB-III/2020

**ALONG WITH** 

CA NO. 111 OF 2022

In the matter of the Companies Act, 2013;

And

In the matter of Sections 230 to 232 and other applicable provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and the rules framed thereunder as in force from time to time;

And

In the matter of the Scheme of Arrangement between TribeTech Private Limited ("Transferor Company") and Ashv Finance Limited (formerly known as Jain Sons Finlease Limited) ("Transferee Company") and their respective shareholders (together the "Petitioner Companies").

### TRIBETECH PRIVATE LIMITED,

a company incorporated under the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 and having its registered office at 13B, 6th Floor,
Techniplex II, IT Park, off Veer Savarkar

Flyover, Goregaon West, Mumbai -400062.

... Petitioner Company No. 1/ Transferor Company

#### **AND**

ASHV FINANCE LIMITED (formerly

known as Jain Sons Finlease Limited),

a company incorporated under the provisions

of the Companies Act, 1956 and having its

registered office at 12B, 3rd Floor,

Techniplex II, IT Park, off Veer Savarkar

Flyover, Goregaon West, Mumbai – 400 062.

...Petitioner Company No. 2/ Transferee Company

# **Called for final hearing:**

Appearances (via videoconferencing)

For Petitioner: Mr. Hemant Sethi along with Mr. Viral Mehta, and

Mr. Gladwin Issac, Advocates i/b S&R Associates,

For Regional Director: Ms. Rupa Sutar, Deputy Director, in Office

of Regional Director, MCA (WR), Mumbai

Coram: Hon'ble Sh. H.V. Subba Rao, Member (J)

Hon'ble Smt. Madhu Sinha, Member (T)

Order pronounced on:12.01.2023

## <u>ORDER</u>

1. Heard the learned advocate for the Petitioner Companies.

- 2. No objector has come before the Tribunal to oppose the Petition or to controvert the averments made in the Petition.
- 3. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submit that CA No 111 of 2022 has been taken out for change in Appointed Date as 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021 to 1<sup>st</sup> April 2022. Application is allowed with a change in appointed date from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021 to 1<sup>st</sup> April 2022. The Scheme shall be deemed to be amended to that extent.
- 4. The sanction of this Tribunal is sought under Sections 230 to 232 and other applicable provisions of the Companies Act, 2013 to the proposed Scheme of Arrangement (a demerger) between the First Petitioner Company and the Second Petitioner Company and their respective shareholders (the "Scheme").
- 5. The first Petitioner/Transferor Company is in the business of providing 'lending-as-a-service' to banks and non-banking financial companies with a focus on micro and small enterprise customers using technology as an enabler, division, activities, and operations as well as the business of providing financial services and products other than lending as a service including their sourcing, marketing and promotion through events, activities, and digital marketing efforts. The Second Petitioner/ Transferee Company is in the business of lending or advancing money either with or without security and arranging and negotiating loans, and carrying on the business of financiers, finance brokers, project consultants and factors, money lenders and bill brokers. The Second

Petitioner/ Transferee Company is also registered with the Reserve Bank of India as a Non-Deposit taking Non-Banking Financial Company bearing registration number B-13.02376.

- 6. The learned advocate for the Petitioner Companies submits that both, Petitioner Companies are subsidiaries of Aavishkaar Venture Management Services Private Limited and are a part of the Aavishkaar group. Pursuant to the Scheme, an undertaking of the Transferor Company, together with all its business, assets, liabilities in relation to such undertaking would demerge into and with the Transferee Company. The Scheme is intended to rationalize the business operations and activities of both, the Transferor Company and the Transferee Company, by bringing together the synergy between the technology platform and the distribution capabilities of the two companies. Since the Transferor Company and the Transferee Company are both part of the Aavishkaar group, and the Transferee Company also forms a significant clientele of the business of the Transferor Company, the business of the Transferor Company servicing the Transferee Company is proposed to be demerged into the Transferee Company. This will also lead to better synergy and optimization of costs and resources within the Aavishkaar group.
- 7. The board of directors of the Petitioner Companies have approved the Scheme by passing resolutions in the respective Board meetings held on August 6, 2020 and July 22, 2020 respectively which are annexed to the Petition.

- 8. Upon the Scheme becoming effective the consideration of the transfer and vesting of the Demerged Undertaking of the Transferor Company in the Transferee Company, the Transferee Company shall issue and allot to every equity shareholder of the Transferor Company, holding fully paid up Equity Shares, and whose names appear in the Register of Members of the Transferor Company as on the Record Date, 292 Equity Shares of face value of INR 10 each, in the Transferee Company credited as fully paid up for every 1 Equity Share of INR 10 each fully paid up held by such shareholder in the Transferor Company (the "Share Entitlement Ratio").
- 9. The learned advocate for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petition has been filed in compliance with the order dated February 1, 2021 passed by this Tribunal in Company Scheme Application No. 1113 of 2020 and applicable laws.
- 10. The learned advocate for the Petitioner Companies submits that the Petitioner Companies have complied with all directions passed by this Tribunal and have filed the relevant affidavits proving compliance in accordance with the directions of this Tribunal. Further, the learned advocate upon instructions states that the Petitioner Companies undertake to comply with statutory requirements, if any, as may be required under the Companies Act, 2013 and the rules made thereunder (as applicable). The said undertaking is accepted.

- 11. The Transferor Company has filed an affidavit dated November 24, 2022 before the Tribunal to place on record the fact of change in the registered office of the Transferor Company with effect from November 1, 2022. The Learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that such change in the registered office of the Transferor Company is within the city of Mumbai and that it has no impact whatsoever on the Scheme.
- 12. The Regional Director, Western Region, Mumbai has filed a report dated July 4, 2022 (the "RD's Report") observing, *inter alia*, that except for the observations noted in paragraphs 2(a) to (m) of the RD's Report, it appears that the Scheme is not prejudicial to the interest of the shareholders and the public. The observations made in paragraphs 2(a) to (m) of the RD's Report are quoted below. In response to the Report of the Regional Director, the Petitioners have filed a joint affidavit in rejoinder dated 6<sup>th</sup> July 2022 and have clarified as under:-

Para	RD Report/ Observations dated 4 <sup>th</sup>	Response	of	Petitio	ner
No. and	July, 2022	Companies	via	Affidavit	in
Page		Rejoinder fi	led or	n 6th Janua	ary,
No. of		2023			
RD					
Report					

That on examination of the report of the Apropos observation of the Regional 2 (a) Registrar of Companies, Mumbai dated Director, as stated in paragraph 17.12.2021 & 26.05.2022 (Annexed as 2(a)(i), the Petitioners clarify that no Annexure-1) that all the Petitioner inquiry, inspection, investigation or Companies fall within the jurisdiction prosecution is pending against the of ROC, Mumbai. It is submitted that Petitioner Companies. no complaint and /or representation regarding the proposed scheme of Amalgamation has been received against the Petitioner Companies. Further, the petitioner companies have filed Financial Statements up to 31.03.2021. The ROC has further submitted that in his report dated 17.12.2021 & 26.05.2022 which are as under:-(i) That the RoC Mumbai in his report dated 17.12.2021 & 26.05.2022 has also stated that no Inquiry, inspection, investigation & prosecution is pending against the subject applicant

companies.

2(a)(ii) i.That the RoC Mumbai in his report 1. Apropos dated 17.12.2021 & 26.05.2022 has further stated that:-

1.Form GNL-1 & MGT-14 has not been filed by both the Petitioner Companies as on date. However, Petitioner company has submitted challan copies vide SRN No. T14489355, T14563852 dated 17.04.2021 for filling of MGT-14 by Petitioner Companies. (Annexed as Annexure-2)

observation of the Regional Director, as stated in paragraph 2(a)(ii)(1), the Petitioner have Companies made their respective Form GNL-1 filings and have annexed copies of the above filings and the respective acknowledgement receipts to the joint affidavit in rejoinder dated 6 July 2022 filed by the Petitioner Companies before the Tribunal.

2(a)(ii) 2. Notice u/s. 230 (5) of Companies Act, 2013 r/w. Company Compromise Arrangement, and Amalgamation Rules, 2016 to RBI has not submitted as Transferee Company is NBFC. However, at page No. 339 of Company Scheme Petition No. 74 of 2021, RBI NOC 16.03.2021 is dated enclosed. (Annexed as Annexure-3)

2. observation Apropos of Director, Regional stated in 2(a)(ii)(2), the learned paragraph Counsel for the Petitioner submitted that the notice under Section 230(5) of Companies 2013 Act, Companies (Compromise, Arrangements, and Amalgamation Rules, 2016) was served upon the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) on 16 February 2021 and the RBI had issued a no-objection letter dated 16 March 2021 which is annexed to the Petition at Exhibit 'CC', Page 339.Petitioners further undertake to adhere to all the observations of the RBI as stated in their no objection letter.

2(a)(ii) 3.Non-Convertible Debentures Transferee company is listed of BSE but notice u/s. 230 (5) of Companies Act. 2013 r/w. Company Compromise and Arrangement, Amalgamation Rules, 2016 has not been served to the BSE. However, Petitioner Transferee Company has submitted copy notice to BSE & SEBI dated 16.02.2021 is enclosed. (Annexed as Annexure-4)

observation of 3. Apropos the Regional Director, as stated in paragraph 2(a)(ii)(3), the Counsel for the Petitioners submit that notice under Section 230(5)of the Companies Act, 2013 r/w Companies (Compromise, Arrangements, and Amalgamation Rules, 2016) was served upon The Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) on 16 February 2021 by the Transferee Company.

4. As per the provision of section 232 (3)(i) of the Companies Act, 2013, where the Transferor Company is dissolved, the fee, if any, paid by the Transferor Company its Authorized Capital shall be set off against any fees payable by the Transferee Company its Authorized Capital subsequent to the amalgamation. Therefore, remaining fee, if any after setting – off the fees already paid by the Transferor

4.Apropos observation of the Regional Director, stated as paragraph 2(a)(ii)(4), the Counsel for the Petitioners submit that since the scheme is for a demerger, Transferor Company will continue to exist and will not be dissolved. In view of the above, the learned advocate states that the observation in paragraph 2(a)(ii)(4) of the RD's Report are not applicable to the present scheme.

	Company on its Authorized Capital, has to be paid by the Transferee Company on the increased authorized capital subsequent to the amalgamation and interest of the creditors should be protected.	
2(a)(ii)	5. Interest of the Creditors should be protected.	5. Apropos observation of the Regional Director, as stated in paragraph 2(a)(ii)(5), the Counsel for the Petitioners submit that the interest of creditors is protected, there is no compromise of arrangement with any class of creditors and all creditors are being paid off in the ordinary course of business.

2(b)	Transferee company should undertake	Apropos observation of the Regional
	to comply with the provisions of section	Director, as stated in paragraph 2(b),
	232(3)(i) of the Companies Act, 2013	the learned Counsel for the Petitioners
	through appropriate affirmation in	state that since the scheme is for a
	respect of fees payable by Transferee	demerger, the Transferor Company
	Company for increase of share capital	will continue to exist and will not be
	on account of merger of transfer of	dissolved. In view of the above, the
	companies.	learned advocate states that the
		observation of the Central
		Government in the aforesaid
		paragraph under reference are not
		applicable to the present scheme of
		demerger.

2(c)	In compliance of Accounting Standard-Apropos observation of the Regional
	14 or IND-AS 103, as may be Director, as stated in paragraph 2(c),
	applicable, the transferee company the Petitioners undertake that in
	shall pass such accounting entries addition to compliances of
	which are necessary in connection with Accounting Standard i.e. AS-14 or
	the scheme to comply with other IND AS-103 for accounting
	applicable Accounting Standards treatment, the Transferee Company
	including AS-5 or IND AS-8 etc. shall pass such accounting entries as
	may be necessary in connection with
	the Scheme to comply with other
	applicable accounting standards such
	as AS-5 or IND-8 as applicable.
2(d)	The Hon'ble Tribunal may kindly Apropos observation of the Regional
	direct the Petitioner Companies to file Director, as stated in paragraph 2(d),
	an affidavit to the extent that the the Petitioners clarify that the scheme
	Scheme enclosed to the Company enclosed to the Company Application
	Application and Company Petition are and Company Petition, are one and
	one and same and there is no the same and there are no
	discrepancy, or no change is made. discrepancy/any change/changes are
	made save and except that the
	'appointed date' has been updated
	from '1 April 2021' to '1 April 2022'.
	Further, the learned advocate submits
	that the RD's Report takes into

	(Control of the Control of the Contr	consideration the change in the
	8	appointed date and does not express
	a l	any objections to such change.
2(e)	The Petitioner Companies under	Apropos observation of the Regional
	provisions of section 230(5) of the	Director, as stated in paragraph 2(e),
	Companies Act 2013 have to servet	the Counsel for the Petitioners state
	notices to concerned authorities whicht	that the notices have been served to
	are likely to be affected by thet	the concerned authorities which are
	Amalgamation or arrangement.1	likely to be affected by demerger such
	Further, the approval of the scheme by	as Regional Director, Western
	the Hon'ble Tribunal may not deter	Region, Registrar of Companies,
	such authorities to deal with any of the	Mumbai, concerned Income Tax
	issues arising after giving effect to the	Department(s) and an affidavit of
	scheme. The decision of such	service /compliance to that effect has
	authorities shall be binding on the	been filed with this Tribunal.
	petitioner companies concerned.	
2(f)	As per Definition of the Scheme,	Apropos observation of the Regional
	6."Appointed Date" has been	Director, as stated in paragraph 2(f),
		the Counsel for the Petitioners submit
	ı v	that the Appointed date fixed under
		the scheme is 1st April 2022 which is
	i i	in compliance of Ministry's circular
	and Transferee Company vide board	

resolution dated 12.02.2021 & 08.02.2021, 08.02.2022 & 09.02.2022 respectively. (Annexed as Annexure-5)

7. "Appointed Date" means April 1, 2022 or such other date as may be approved by the Board of Directors of the Transferor Company and Transferee Company prior to the Effective Date.

"Effective Date" means the date on which the NCLT passes an order sanctioning the Scheme in accordance with Section 232(3) and other applicable provisions of the Companies Act;

"Record Date" means the date to be fixed by the Board of Directors of the Transferee Company for the purpose of issue and allotment of Equity Shares of the Transferee Company to the shareholders of the Transferor Company in terms of the Scheme;

no. F. No. 7/12/2019/CL-I dated 21.08.2019.

	Therefore, Applicant Company shall	
	ensure the compliance of Ministry's	
	circular no. F. No. 7/12/2019/CL-I	
	dated 21.08.2019.	
2(g)	Petitioner Companies shall undertake	Apropos observation of the Regional
	to comply with the directions of Income	Director, as stated in paragraph 2(g),
	tax department, if so required.	the Counsel for the Petitioners state
	However, notice served to the Income	that the Income Tax Department has
	tax department dated 16.02.2021.	not filed any objection after receipt of
		the notice and in any event that
		scheme does not adversely impact or
		prejudice the rights of the Income Tax
		Department against the Petitioner
		Companies Clause 4.2.9 of the
		scheme expressly records that the
		scheme does not in any manner
		prejudicially affects the rights of any
		party to initiate or continue
		proceedings or prosecution against
		the Petitioner Companies.

2(h)	Petitioner Companies shall undertake Apropos observation of the Regional
	to comply with the directions of the Director, as stated in paragraph 2(h),
	concerned sectoral Regulatory if so the Counsel for the Petitioners submit
	required. that states that RBI has granted a No-
	Objection certificate to the Transferee
	Company on 16 March 2021 which is
	annexed to the Petition.
2(i)	Petitioner Companies shall undertake Apropos observation of the Regional
	to submit details of left out Assets and Director, as stated in paragraph 2(i),
	liabilities of Demerged Company i.e the Counsel for the Petitioners state
	Transferor Company TribeTechthat the Transferee Company
	Private Limited after effect of this undertakes to submit the details of left
	scheme of Arrangement. out Assets and liabilities of Demerged
	Company i.e., Transferor Company,
	TribeTech Private Limited after the
	Scheme of Arrangement comes into
	effect as may be directed by the
	Regional Director.

2(j)	As per the list of shareholders enclosed Apropos observation of the Regional
	in the Petitioner, Petitioner Companies Director, as stated in paragraph 2(j),
	has foreign shareholders, hence the Counsel for Petitioners state upon
	Petitioner Companies shall undertake instructions states that Petitioner
	to comply with guidelines, Rules and Companies undertake to ensure
	Regulation of RBI and FEMA/FERA. compliance with guidelines, Rules
	and Regulation of RBI and
	FEMA/FERA, to the extent
	applicable.
2(k)	As per the list of Debenture holders Apropos observation of the Regional
	enclosed in the Petitioner, Petitioner Director, as stated in paragraph 2(k),
	Transferee Company's Non-the Transferee Company undertakes
	Convertible Debentures is listed with and ensures to comply with guidelines
	BSE, hence Petitioner Transferee and observations of BSE, to the extent
	Company shall undertake to comply applicable.
	with guidelines and observations of
	BSE.

2(1)	As per the Chairman's Report dated Apropos observation of the Regional
	26.03.2021 for conducting meeting of Director, as stated in paragraph 2(1),
	equity shareholders of both Petitioner the Counsel for the Petitioners submit
	Companies and secured creditors of that the meetings of equity
	Transferee company, they have shareholders and secured creditors (as
	mentioned that the meeting was applicable) were conducted in a fair
	conducted in the fair and transparent and transparent manner and the
	manner and there were no invalid votes scrutinizer's report states that there
	cast. were no invalid votes cast. The
	learned advocate further submits that
	the relevant reports of the scrutinizer
	have been filed before this Hon'ble
	Tribunal. The Scheme was approved
	by the requisite no. of shareholders
	and secured creditors.
2(m)	As per Financial Statement as on Apropos observation of the Regional
	31.03.2021 the Transferor Company Director, as stated in paragraph 2(m),
	has shown Security Premium of Rs. the Counsel for the Petitioners submit
	2878.06/- (In lakhs) and the Transferor that the Petitioner Companies
	Company has shown Security Premium submitted with the Regional Director
	of Rs. 21992.25/- (In lakhs), hence the fact about the date of issue of
	Petitioner Companies shall undertake shares, names of the original allottee,
	to satisfy the Hon'ble Bench about the price at which shares originally
	fairness/ genuineness of issue of share allotted, date & price at which shares

capital by bring the fact about date of were transferred original issue of shares, names of original allottees to present shareholders allottee, price at which share originally through the legal manner by way of allotted, assessment of share capital taking requisite approvals from u/s. 68 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 and regulatory authorities, filing requisite date & price at which shares were returns etc., and through bonafide transferred from original allottees to allottees which is further transferred present shareholder to satisfy the to existing shareholders by way of Hon'ble Bench the huge capitalshare transfers which confirms brought in the company through legal fairness and genuineness of the issue manner through bonafide allottees of share capital. Further, upon the which is further transferred to existing scheme being effective, Transferee shareholders by way of share transfers. Company shall be issuing shares to Further both the Petitioner Companies the shareholders of the Transferor have filed Form BEN-2 vide SRNCompany based on valuation done No.R36142875. the and share exchange ratio R36142925 determined based on such valuation. R36142909,R36142602, dated 30/03/2020 ((Annexed as The learned advocate further submits Annexure-7), u/s. 90 of the Companies that the relevant fairness opinion is Act, 2013 declaring the name of the also annexed to the Petition. There are beneficial owner in respect of the above no adverse comments in the RD's corporate shareholder of Petitioner Report in relation to such valuation Companies in compliance ofreport, share exchange ratio and the Companies (Significant Beneficial fairness opinion. The learned

Owners) Rules, 2018 and declared the advocate further states that the share individual beneficial owner against the premium with the two companies is above corporate shareholders of the proportional to the size and business Petitioner Company.

of the respective companies and there is nothing unusual about such numbers.

Apropos the requirement under Section 90 of the Companies Act, 2013, the counsel for the Petitioner Companies states that RD's Report itself confirms that the Petitioner Companies have filed Form BEN-2 declaring the name of the beneficial owner in respect of the shareholders of the Petitioner Companies and are in compliance with the Companies (Significant Beneficial Owners) Rules, 2018.

13. The observations made by the Regional Director have been explained by the Petitioner Companies in Para 12 above. The rejoinder dated 6<sup>th</sup> July 2022 filed by the Petitioner Company, the clarifications and undertakings given by the Petitioner Company are accepted by this Tribunal, and the Petitioner Company are directed to comply with the

same. Moreover, the Petitioner Company undertake to comply with all the statutory requirements, if any, as may be required under the Companies Act, 2013 and the Rules made thereunder. The Authorised Representative of the Regional Director, MCA (WR), Mumbai Ms. Rupa Sutar who is present at the time of the hearing has submitted that the explanation and clarifications given by the Petitioner Company are found satisfactory for approving the scheme by the Tribunal.

- 14. From the material on record, the Scheme appears to be fair and reasonable and is not in violation of any provisions of law and is not contrary to public policy.
- 15. All the assets and liabilities including taxes and charges, if any and duties of the Transferor Company, shall pursuant to Section 232 of the Companies Act, 2013, be transferred to and become the assets, liabilities and duties of the Transferee Company.
- 16. Since all the requisite statutory compliances have been fulfilled, Company Scheme Petition bearing C.P.(CAA)/74/MB /2021 filed by the Petitioner Companies is made absolute in terms of prayers clause of the said Company Scheme Petition.
- 17. The Petitioner Companies are directed to file a certified copy of this order along with a copy of the Scheme with the concerned Registrar of Companies, electronically, along with e-Form INC-28, within 30 (thirty) days from the date of receipt of order, duly certified by the Designated Registrar of this Tribunal.

- 18. The Petitioner Companies to lodge a certified copy of this order and the Scheme duly authenticated by the Designated Registrar of this Tribunal, with the concerned Superintendent of Stamps, for the purpose of adjudication of stamp duty payable, if any, on the same within 60 (sixty) days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.
- 19. All concerned regulatory authorities to act on a copy of this Order duly certified by the Designated Registry of this Tribunal, along with a copy of the Scheme.
- 20. The Scheme of Merger by Absorption is hereby sanctioned, and the appointed date of the Scheme is fixed mutually as 1<sup>st</sup> April 2022.
- 21. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-MADHU SINHA MEMBER (T) Sd/-H.V. SUBBA RAO MEMBER (J)